

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The Examiner has held that the previous amendment is non-responsive because support for a newly added claim limitation has not been specifically pointed out. The particular recitation cited is, “ * * including mapping input light rays and output light rays having a given intersection: * *. “

In the specification, page 9, lines 9-12, disclose the intersection, denoted as S, of an input light ray, referred to as an incident ray I, and an output light ray, referred to as transmitted light rays T. In Fig. 3, the intersection S is shown.

SUMMARY

In light of the foregoing remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the Examiner will now find the claims of the application allowable. Favorable reconsideration of the application is courteously requested.

Respectfully submitted,



C. Fred Rosenbaum
Registration # 27,110

McHale & Slavin, P.A.
2855 PGA Blvd.,
Palm Beach Gardens, FL 33402
(561) 625-6575 (Voice)
(561) 625-6572 (Fax)